| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 09/892,834 | HANCOCK ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Carlos Lopez | 1731 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Carlos Lopez</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Marc Richards</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 22 July 2003 | Time: <u>4pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: 22-46 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In order to advance prosecution of the instant application, Applicant's representative agreed to the cancellation of claims 22-31,41-46. Additionally applicant's representative pointed to the grammar error at page 19 line 5 and suggested to delete ",such as" | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar | t/Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appropriate) |